Miscellaneous Notes

1. NOTES ON THE STATUS OF THE NOSELEAF BAT, HIPPOSIDEROS SPEORIS PULCHELLUS ANDERSEN (MAMMALIA: CHIROPTERA: RHINOLOPHIDAE)

While preparing a catalogue of Chiroptera in the collections of the Zoological Survey of India, Calcutta, specimens of *Hipposideros speoris speoris* (Schneider) and *H. speoris pulchellus* Andersen presented some difficulty in separation. An attempt is made in this paper to settle the problem. Notes on geographical distribution of the species are also included.

MATERIAL: 19, Baroda, Gujarat: 193, 139, Coorg, Kanara, Bellary (Vijayanagar), Gadag, Belgaum and Deccan, Karnataka: 63, 49, Trivandrum, Kerala: 23, 69, 1 (unsexed), Salem, Nagercoil and Tiruchirapalli, Tamil Nadu: 23, 19: Cuddapah and Palkonda Hills, Andhra Pradesh: 103, 29, 5 (unsexed): Sri Lanka.

TABLE

MEASUREMENTS (IN MM) OF *Hipposideros speoris* (Schneider) from the DISTRIBUTIONAL RANGE OF *H. s. speoris* and *H. s. pulchellus*

	Mysore	Peninsular India	Ceylon
	(Bellary)	(excluding Bellary)	
EXTERNAL:	73,69	23 8, 15 9	10 8 2 9
Length of forearm:	47-52	49-5 4	50-54
Longin of forearm.	(50.1)*	(51.4)	(52.1)
Length of tibia:	20-23	19.5-25	19-24
Longin of tional	(21.8)	(21.7)	(21)
Length of foot including	8-9	7-10	7.5-9.5
Claws:	(8.7)	(8.4)	(8.8)
SKULL:	28,49	123, 59	43,29
Total length:	18-19	18.1-19.2	48, 2¥ 18-19
Lotar rengent.	(18.3)	(18.4)	(18.6)
Zygomatic width:	10.3-11.5	10.3-11.7	10.6-11.4
Bygomatic width.	(10.8)	(10.9)	
Cranial width:	8.5-8.8	8-9.7	(11.1)
orumar width.	(8.7)	(8.4)	8.2-8.9
Length of upper tooth	7-7.4	6.8-7.3	(8.5)
row $(c-m^3)$:	(7.1)		7-7.5
Length of lower tooth ro		(7.1)	(7.3)
		7.2-8	7.5-8.3
$(c_1 - m_3)$:	(7.7)	(7.8)	(7.9)
Length of mandible:	12.6-13.5	12.5-13.5	12.8-13.5
	(13)	(13.1)	(13.2)

* Average measurements given in parentheses.

524 JOURNAL, BOMBAY NATURAL HIST. SOCIETY, Vol. 72(2)

Andersen (1917) separated *pulchellus* (Bellary population) from *speoris* only on average measurements: skull length 18-19.8 mm (18.8) vs. 19-20.3 mm (19.7) and forearm 45.8-51 mm (49.5) vs. 49.8-54 mm (52). From a study of the material of the species from the range of the two 'subspecies', I find that there is no difference in their external or cranial measurements (Table), as mentioned by Anderson (1918). I would therefore, treat *H. speoris pulchellus* Andersen as a synonym of *H. speoris speoris* (Schneider).

The species has hitherto been known to range from Peninsular India, Sri Lanka east to Java, Sumatra and Timor. Brosset (1962) mentioned its absence in Gujarat but one specimen examined by me from Baroda (Gujarat) belongs to this species.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful to Dr. A. P. Kapur, Director, Zoological Survey of India for providing facilities for this work.

Y. P. SINHA

DESERT REGIONAL STATION, ZOOLOGICAL SURVEY OF INDIA, JODHPUR (RAJASTHAN), July 10, 1973.

REFERENCES

ANDERSEN, K. (1918): Diagnosis BROSSET, A. (1962): The bats of of new bats of the families Rhinolo-Central and Western India. II. J. phidae and Megadermatidae. Ann. Bombay nat. Hist. Soc. 59:583-624. Mag. nat. Hist. (9) 2:374-384.

2. A NOTE ON ECOLOGY OF THE GOLDEN LANGUR (PRESBYTIS GEEI KHAJURIA)

INTRODUCTION

A survey of Manas Sanctuary was undertaken to investigate the distribution of the fauna and to ascertain the present position of the Golden Langur (*Presbytis geei* Khajuria) in the Sanctuary area. The period of survey was from 8th to 18th January 1974. The area within and around the sanctuary were covered by Jeep, on foot, by boat and on elephant back. This report also includes findings of 4 surveys in Garo Hills area pertaining to *Presbytis geei*.